arXiv:1611.01803v1 [gr-qc] 6 Nov 2016

Proca Q Tubes and their Coupling to Gravity

Y. Brihaye[a] *and* Y. Verbin[b] *

[a] *Physique Théorique et Mathématiques, Université de Mons, Place du Parc, B-7000 Mons, Belgique*

[b] *Department of Natural Sciences, The Open University of Israel, Raanana 43107, Israel*

Abstract

The Einstein-Proca system is studied in the case of a complex vector-field self-interacting through an appropriate potential with a global U(1) symmetry. The corresponding equations for a static, cylindrically symmetric metric and matter fields are then constructed and solved. In the probe limit (no gravity), it is shown that the equations admit at least two classes of regular solutions distinguished by the asymptotic behavior of the matter fields. One of these classes corresponds to lumps of vector fields localized in a cylindrical region, we naturally call these solutions "Proca-Q-tubes". They constitute the cylindrical counterparts of spherical Proca-Q-balls constructed recently; they can be characterized by finite mass and charge per unit-length of the tube. The domain of existence of these Proca-Q-tubes with respect to the coupling constants determining the potential is studied in detail. Finally, the gravitating Proca-Q-tubes are constructed and studied.

PACS Numbers: 04.70.-s, 04.50.Gh, 11.25.Tq

1 Introduction

In the recent couple of years a substantial number of papers appeared, studying and analyzing non-topological solitons "made of" self-interacting vector fields [1, 2, 3, 4] whose dynamics is set by the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^*_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + U(A^*_\mu A^\mu) \tag{1.1}$$

where as usual A_μ is the vector potential and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the corresponding field strength - although they may be complex. The potential function may contain only a mass term, $U(A^*_\mu A^\mu) = m^2 A^*_\mu A^\mu/2$ as in the original Proca Lagrangian [5, 6, 7], in which case localized solutions exist only with the help of gravity. These structures are known as Proca stars [2, 3, 4]. There exist also some earlier studies [8, 9] from around the year 2000.

On the other hand, a higher order polynomial like

$$U(\psi) = \frac{m^2}{2}\psi + \frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\psi^3 \quad , \quad \psi = A^*_\mu A^\mu \tag{1.2}$$

may support localized solutions even in flat space without invoking gravity [1]. These may be named Proca Q-balls in flat space or Proca Q-stars for the self-gravitating version, reflecting the strong similarity with the analogous solutions of scalar fields [10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

All cases are covered by the following action (R is Ricci scalar and G Newton's constant; we use the Landau-Lifshitz sign conventions with a "mostly minus" metric):

*Electronic addresses: yves.brihaye@umons.ac.be; verbin@openu.ac.il

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\frac{R}{16\pi G}-\frac{1}{4}F^*_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}+U(A^*_\mu A^\mu)\right) \tag{1.3}$$

In spite of the strong similarity, we stress already here an important difference between the vector and scalar potential functions. Unlike the scalar case, the potential $U(A^*_\mu A^\mu)$ is unbounded from below because of the non-definite spacetime norm. However, the energy (or mass) density turns out still to be bounded from below for a certain range of parameters as we will see in the next section. We will find further that the vector localized solutions require $\lambda > 0$ much contrary to the scalar solutions which exist for $\lambda < 0$ (while $\nu > 0$ in both cases). At any rate, the potential function breaks explicitly the local $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the pure (double) Maxwell theory (the kinetic term), and leaves a global $U(1)$ only. A non-Abelian generalization has been studied as well [15].

Another aspect of these theories that was studied quite extensively is black hole solutions that were found to exist exhibiting a vector hair in either the Abelian [16, 17] or non-Abelian case [15].

These studies are motivated partially by suggestions of massive spin-1 particles as a dark matter ingredient [18, 19, 20, 21] and partially from sheer curiosity as for the new structures with new properties that may be composed by massive vector particles.

All this effort has been concentrated in spherically symmetric solutions. However, the analogous cylindrically-symmetric solutions is interesting and relevant as well. Cylindrically-symmetric localized solutions of a self-interacting complex scalar field with a conserved global charge -so called Q-tubes- have been constructed and studied in [22, 23] and extended to gravity in [24].

This paper is devoted to the study of solutions which we name Proca Tubes or Proca Q-Tubes. We will find that as for the spherically-symmetric solutions, gravity is indispensable for localized cylindrically-symmetric solutions in the pure Proca system, while the additional terms in the potential (1.2) are enough to guarantee localized solutions even in flat space. However, taking gravity into account introduces significant changes with respect to the spherically-symmetric case, such as a much smaller region in parameter space where solutions exist. Moreover, this kind of solutions are not asymptotically conic (which is the analog of asymptotic flatness in our case), but are of the Kasner type.

2 The Model, Ansatz and Field Equations

The field equations for the self-interacting vector field as derived from (1.1) are

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu}+2\frac{dU}{d\psi}A^\nu=0 \tag{2.1}$$

which are supplemented with the constraint (the analog of the Lorentz condition for the Maxwell field)

$$\nabla_\mu\left(\frac{dU}{d\psi}A^\mu\right)=0 \tag{2.2}$$

The conserved global $U(1)$ current is

$$J^\mu=-\frac{i}{2}\left(F^{*\mu\nu}A_\nu-F^{\mu\nu}A^*_\nu\right) \tag{2.3}$$

If gravity is "switched on", one should solve these equations in a self-consistent way with the Einstein equations which in terms of the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ read:

$$G_{\mu\nu}+8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}=0 \tag{2.4}$$

where the energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}\left(F^{*\lambda}_\mu F_{\lambda\nu}+F^{*\lambda}_\nu F_{\lambda\mu}\right)+\frac{1}{4}F^*_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\kappa\lambda}g_{\mu\nu}+\frac{dU}{d\psi}\left(A^*_\mu A_\nu+A^*_\nu A_\mu\right)-U(\psi)g_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.5}$$

As mentioned above, we intend to fill here the gap of cylindrically-symmetric solutions in this system. We assume therefore an appropriate form of metric given by the line element

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = N^2(r)dt^2 - H^2(r)dr^2 - L^2(r)d\varphi^2 - K^2(r)dz^2, \tag{2.6}$$

while for the vector field we assume the radial "electric" configuration

$$A_\mu dx^\mu = e^{-i\omega t}\left(a_0(r)dt + ia_1(r)dr\right). \tag{2.7}$$

The 2 components $a_0(r)$ and $a_1(r)$ are assumed to be real. They satisfy the "Lorentz" condition which takes now the form

$$\frac{\omega}{N}\left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)a_0 + \frac{1}{HKL}\left[\frac{NKL}{H}\left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)a_1\right]' = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

where ψ takes the form $\psi = a_0^2/N^2 - a_1^2/H^2$.

The field equations (2.1) become

$$\frac{\omega}{N^2}\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right) + \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)a_1 = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

$$\frac{N}{HLK}\left[\frac{KL}{HN}\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)\right]' - \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)a_0 = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

and it is easy to see that substituting (2.9) into (2.10) yields (2.8). Alternatively, Eq. (2.9) is a linear combination of (2.8) and (2.10).

An important characteristic of the solutions is the global $U(1)$ charge, or in the present situation, the charge per unit length, which may be interpreted here as the number of vector particles per unit length. It is readily obtained from the time component of the conserved current (2.3):

$$Q = -2\pi\int_0^\infty dr\frac{KL}{HN}\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)a_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\int_0^\infty dr\frac{NKL}{H}\left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)a_1^2 \tag{2.11}$$

where the second expression was obtained by using (2.9). We assume of course that the integral converges for the localized solution we are after. Without loss of generality we will take $\omega > 0$, so $Q > 0$ as well.

By integrating (2.8) or (2.10) one obtains the following constraint which is useful as a check on the solutions we will find:

$$\int_0^\infty dr\frac{HKL}{N}\left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)a_0 = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

A second important characteristic is the mass per unit length. Thus we calculate the components of T_μ^ν for the cylindrical case which turns out to be diagonal. We find:

$$T_0^0 = \frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} + \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)\frac{a_0^2}{N^2} - \left(\frac{m^2}{2}\psi + \frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\psi^3\right) \tag{2.13}$$

$$T_r^r = \frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} - \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)\frac{a_1^2}{H^2} - \left(\frac{m^2}{2}\psi + \frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\psi^3\right) \tag{2.14}$$

$$T_\varphi^\varphi = T_z^z = -\frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} - \left(m^2\psi + \frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\psi^3\right) \tag{2.15}$$

So the mass per unit coordinate length is expressed in terms of the mass density T_0^0 as

$$M = 2\pi\int_0^\infty drNLHKT_0^0 \tag{2.16}$$

Note that the contribution from the potential term to the mass density (i.e. the two last terms of T_0^0) is not always positive definite, but it is so for $\lambda^2 < 4\nu m^2$ [1]. The masses themselves that we calculate turn out to

be positive also outside this range. A further significance of the mass and the global charge together is in the stability ratio $M/|Q|m$ which implies stable solutions when $M/|Q|m < 1$.

Since we prefer to solve Einstein equations in the "Ricci form"

$$R_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi G S_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad , \quad S_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{T^{\lambda}_{\lambda}}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.17}$$

we give below the components of S^{ν}_{μ} for the right-hand side:

$$S^0_0 = \frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} + \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)\frac{a_0^2}{N^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{3}\psi^3\right) \tag{2.18}$$

$$S^r_r = \frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} - \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)\frac{a_1^2}{H^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{3}\psi^3\right) \tag{2.19}$$

$$S^{\varphi}_{\varphi} = S^z_z = -\frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{3}\psi^3\right) \tag{2.20}$$

and the components of Ricci tensor for the left-hand side:

$$R^0_0 = -\frac{\left(KLN'/H\right)'}{KLNH} \quad , \quad R^{\varphi}_{\varphi} = -\frac{\left(NKL'/H\right)'}{KLNH} \quad , \quad R^z_z = -\frac{\left(LNK'/H\right)'}{KLNH}$$
$$R^r_r = -\frac{1}{H^2}\left(\frac{N''}{N} + \frac{L''}{L} + \frac{K''}{K} - \frac{H'}{H}\left(\frac{N'}{N} + \frac{L'}{L} + \frac{K'}{K}\right)\right) \tag{2.21}$$

We still have a gauge freedom reflected in the metric component $H(r)$ which we choose from now on to be $H(r) = 1$, so we get 3 second order equations for the 3 remaining metric components. By writing the field equations in a dimensionless form, it becomes obvious that there are only 2 independent free parameters in this system. So we replace r by $x = mr$ and scale the components a_0 and a_1 by a mass scale $\mu = m^{1/2}/\nu^{1/4}$. A dimensionless gravitational coupling constant $\gamma = 16\pi G\mu^2 = 16\pi Gm/\nu^{1/2}$ appears naturally. This way we get

$$\frac{\left(KLN'\right)'}{KLN} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2} + \left(1 + \lambda\psi + \psi^2\right)\frac{a_0^2}{N^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\psi^3\right)\right] = 0 \tag{2.22}$$

$$\frac{\left(NKL'\right)'}{KLN} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\psi^3\right)\right] = 0 \tag{2.23}$$

$$\frac{\left(LNK'\right)'}{KLN} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{1}{3}\psi^3\right)\right] = 0 \tag{2.24}$$

All quantities in these equations are dimensionless. In particular ω stands for ω/m and λ here is actually $\lambda\mu^2/m^2 = \lambda/(m\nu^{1/2})$ in terms of the original parameters. A fourth equation which is not independent but still useful as a check is the (rr) component of (2.4) which is first order:

$$\frac{N'}{N}\frac{K'}{K} + \frac{K'}{K}\frac{L'}{L} + \frac{L'}{L}\frac{N'}{N} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{\left(a_0' - \omega a_1\right)^2}{2N^2H^2} - \left(m^2 + \lambda\psi + \nu\psi^2\right)\frac{a_1^2}{H^2} - \left(\frac{m^2}{2}\psi + \frac{\lambda}{4}\psi^2 + \frac{\nu}{6}\psi^3\right)\right] = 0 \tag{2.25}$$

The dimensionless version of the vector field equations (2.8)-(2.10) are obtained formally by taking $m = 1$ and $\nu = 1$ and replacing r by the dimensionless radial variable x. And of course using the same coordinate condition $H(x) = 1$. We will not write them again here. We also comment that the dimensionless version of the charge and mass are obtained by the same way exactly. They are related to one another by $\bar{M} = M/\mu^2$ and $\bar{Q} = Qm/\mu^2$. The stability ratio may be calculated both ways: $M/|Q|m = \bar{M}/|\bar{Q}|$.

3 Boundary Conditions

Let us first discuss the probe limit of the equations, i.e. the case $\gamma = 0$. In this case, the relevant equations reduce to a system for the fields a_0 and a_1. In order to formulate a boundary value problem on the interval $x \in [0, \infty]$, we found it convenient to use a form where the equations for a_1 and a_0 are respectively of the first and second order. Three conditions on the boundary have then to be imposed. The regularity of the solutions on the axis of symmetry $x = 0$ imposes $a_1(0) = 0$ and $a_0'(0) = 0$. These conditions are completed by demanding $a_0(\infty) = 0$.

Inspecting the possible asymptotic behavior of the fields, we found two possibilities :

$$a_0(x) \propto \frac{e^{-\sqrt{1-\omega^2}\, x}}{\sqrt{x}} \quad , \quad a_1(x) = -\frac{\omega}{1-\omega^2}\frac{da_0}{dx} \tag{3.1}$$

The solutions of this type will be referred to as Type-1. The other possibility is the following:

$$a_0(x) = -\frac{A}{\omega x} + o(1/x^2) \quad , \quad a_1(x) = A - \frac{\omega}{1-\omega^2}\frac{da_0}{dx} \tag{3.2}$$

where the constants A, ω are related by $\omega^2 + \lambda A^2 - \nu A^4 = 1$. We will refer to these solutions as being Type-0. We note that this possibility is specific to the vector field system and has no counterpart for the scalar case.

Once the conditions on the boundary are imposed, and with a given choice of the self-interacting potential (actually, by choosing the rescaled parameter λ only), the system can be solved for continuous values of the frequency ω or of the central value $a_0(0)$ (these quantities are related through the equations - see also Fig. 1). The set of solutions labeled by ω then constitutes a branch of Proca-Q-tubes. The main challenge is to determine the pattern of these solutions for different choices of the coupling constant λ.

Since the asymptotic forms (3.1) and (3.2) are consistent with the boundary conditions, we studied the two corresponding families of solutions. They have quite different properties, in particular :

- **Type-0 :** This type refers to solutions which have $a_1(\infty) \neq 0$ and $a_1'(\infty) = 0$. They have no finite mass per unit length as is evident for example from looking at (2.13). However they turn out useful for the understanding of the pattern of localized solutions.

- **Type-1 :** This type refers to solutions which have $a_1(\infty) = 0$ and $a_1'(\infty) = 0$. These solutions have a finite mass per unit length. These are Proca Q-tubes.

As will be discussed in the next section, the occurrence (and the eventual co-existence) of solutions of Type-0 and Type-1 depends on the relative values of $a_0(0)$ and of ω.

Actually, Type-1 solutions split further into several classes according their radial excitation number which is in a one-to-one correspondence with the number of nodes of $a_0(x)$ and $a_1(x)$. We will denote these classes as Type 1* (for the first excitation), Type 1**, etc.

4 Flat Space Proca Q-tubes

The finite energy Type-1 solutions can be constructed in a way technically inspired from the construction of spherically symmetric Q-balls. Since we do not expect closed form solutions to the system, we construct the solutions by numerical methods. We used the routine COLSYS [25].

First we pick a value for λ. Obviously, setting $a_0(0) = 0$, the equations are fulfilled by the trivial configuration $a_0(x) = a_1(x) = 0$. Then by increasing gradually the parameter $a_0(0)$, non trivial solutions to the boundary value problem emerge provided the frequency ω is adjusted appropriately by decreasing from the limiting value $\omega \to 1$ which corresponds to $a_0(0) \to 0$. A family of solutions which have $\omega < 1$ can be constructed in this way. A few of these branches are illustrated by the solid lines in Fig. 1.

The natural question is to determine the domain of existence of these branches for $\omega < 1$. From the generic features of Q-balls it can be expected that the branches of solutions are limited by a lower bound of the frequency, say $\omega = \omega_c$. Our numerical results confirm this expectation and further reveal that the critical phenomenon



Figure 1: The frequency ω versus the central value $a_0(0)$ for two values of the self-interaction parameter λ for the Type-0 (unlocalized solutions) Type-1 (Q-tubes) and Type-1* (radially first excited) solutions.

limiting the branches of solutions -while ω decreases- depends crucially on the value of the coupling constant λ characterizing the self-interaction.

Weak coupling: Let us first examine the situation for small λ (typically for $0 < \lambda < 1.5$). It turns out that the function $a_1(x)$ possesses a minimum and has the tendency to keep its minimal value on a larger and larger interval of x while ω decreases. This is illustrated in Fig. 2 for $\lambda = 1$ for of solutions with $\omega = 0.96$ and $\omega = 0.92$; in this case we find $\omega_c \approx 0.9125$.

On the other hand we also constructed the branches of solutions of Type-0. These solutions can be characterized by the parameter $a_0(0)$ and generically exist for larger intervals of this parameter than the corresponding Type-1 families (this feature also holds true for the frequency ω). The branches corresponding to $\lambda = 1.0$ and $\lambda = 0.75$ are shown in Fig. 1. Interestingly, the $\omega - a_0(0)$ diagram of Fig. 1 reveals that the branch of Type-1 solutions bifurcates from a branch of Type-0 solutions. This feature was confirmed numerically for many values of λ. It should be stressed that, in the vicinity of the bifurcation point, the numerical integration becomes tricky because of the occurrence of two solutions corresponding to the same boundary values.

Similarly, the first excited states are found along a curve which branches of the Type-0 curve somewhat higher and so on for the higher excitations as well. The corresponding data is presented by the long-dashed lines on the $\omega - a_0(0)$ curve in Fig. 1.

Our construction of branches of Type-0 and Type-I solutions for several values of λ has revealed several features which we now summarize.

- Contrasting with the Type-1, Type-0 solutions have $\lim_{a_0(0)\to 0} \omega = \omega_0(\lambda)$ with $\omega_0(\lambda) < 1$.
- While the Type-1 branch approaches the vacuum configuration in the limit $a_0(0) \to 0$, Type-0 still has non-trivial $a_0(x)$ and $a_1(x)$ as a limiting solution.
- When the parameter $a_0(0)$ approaches a maximal value, say $a_0(0)_{max}$, a singular configuration is approached as illustrated by Fig. 3 in the case $\lambda = 0.75$. In particular the field $a_1(x)$ tends to form a steep wall around a particular value of the radius, say at $x = x_w$ and reaches its (non-zero) asymptotic value for $x > x_w$.



Figure 2: Profiles of Type-1 solution with $\lambda = 1$; the solid (resp. dashed) lines correspond to $\omega = 0.96$ and $a_0(0) = 0.1787$ (resp. $\omega = 0.92$ and $a_0(0) = 0.238$).

- As a consequence of the previous point, $a_1'(x_w)$ diverges. In the case $\lambda = 0.75$ we find $a_0(0)_{max} \approx 0.70$ and $\omega = 1.1$.

Strong coupling Solutions of both types (Type-0 and Type-1) can be constructed for large values of λ (typically $\lambda > 1.5$) but the branch of Type-1 solutions does not bifurcate into the Type-0 one. The numerical results suggest the Proca Q-tubes terminate into a non-analytical configurations for a maximal value of the parameter $a_0(0)$. At the approach of this maximal value the functions $a_0(x)$ and $a_1(x)$ behave quite differently



Figure 3: Profiles of a Type-0 solution for $\lambda = 0.75$ with $a_0(0) = 0.70$ (corresponding to $\omega \approx 1.1$). Note that the "electric field" F_{0r} is smooth in spite of the shape of a_0 and a_1.



Figure 4: Profiles of a Type-1 limiting solution for $\lambda = 2$ with $a_0(0) = 0.13$ and $\omega = 0.965$. Note that the "electric field" F_{0r} is smooth in spite of the shape of a_0 and a_1.

in the two regions separated by the cylinder $x = x_w$: the function $a_0(x)$ forms a wall at $x = x_w$, at this wall the function $a_1(x)$ presents a 'V-shape' and the function $a_1'(x)$ presents a discontinuity. The limiting solution for $\lambda = 2$ is shown in Fig. 4; it has $a_0(0) = 0.13$ corresponding frequency $\omega \approx 0.965$. Note that the field strength $F_{0r} = -(a_0' - \omega a_1)$ is smooth.

Physical properties: We now present some physical quantities characterizing the Type-1 (Q-tube) families namely the mass, the charge and the ensuing classical stability properties. The dependence on the central value $a_0(0)$ of the masses of these solutions for several values of λ is depicted in Fig. 5(a). The right panel (b) of



(a) Mass-logarithmic scale

(b) $\omega, M/Qm$

Figure 5: Left: Mass as a function of $a_0(0)$ for several values of λ. Right: ω and the stability ratio M/Qm as function of $a_0(0)$. Note that the λ values of the ω curves can be inferred from comparison with the higher M/Qm curves.

the figure shows the $a_0(0)$ dependence of ω and of the ratio M/Qm which is the usual criterium for stability of Q-balls and Q-tubes. We see that stable solutions ($M/Qm < 1$) exist up to about a little higher than $\lambda = 1$. At $\lambda = 1.25$ most of the Q-tube solutions become unstable, apart from those with the lower values of ω. For larger values of λ, all solutions are unstable. We also note that the condition for the positivity of T_0^0, whose dimensionless form reads $\lambda^2 < 4$, seems to play no role in this context. There exist positive mass solutions above $\lambda = 2$ and unstable solutions below $\lambda = 2$.

It should be stressed that the curves stop at the minimal value of ω below which no Q-tube solutions exist. These are the bifurcation points discussed above.

We also constructed several classes of radially excited states of Proca Q-tubes. Figure 6 presents the M-$a_0(0)$ curves of the ground states and first excited states for two values of λ. Since radially excited states in scalar Q-balls are well known to exist [26, 27, 28], it can be expected that excited solutions also exist in the Proca Q-balls, but there have been no reports about it so far.



Figure 6: Mass as a function of $a_0(0)$ for $\lambda = 0.75$ and $\lambda = 1$ of the ordinary "ground state" Proca Q-tubes (solid lines) and the first radially excited states (dashed).

5 Gravitating Proca Q-tubes

In this section, we study the effect of gravity on the Q-tubes discussed in the previous section; assuming a minimal coupling to gravity (Eq.(1.3))[1]. The equations then involve the Newton constant which, after an appropriate rescaling, appears through the effective gravitational parameter $\gamma \equiv 16\pi G\mu^2$. Due to the occurrence of two independent coupling constants in the equations (γ and λ), we limit our presentation to the particular case $\lambda = 1$ which can be considered as representative. We have then fixed a few values $\gamma > 0$ and studied the branches of solutions obtained by varying $a_0(0)$ as before from $a_0(0) = 0$ to the bifurcation point. The values chosen for reporting our results ($\gamma = 1/40$, $\gamma = 1/80$ and $\gamma = 1/160$) are large but this choice has a double purpose : (i) facilitate the numerical analysis, (ii) enhance of the effect of gravity which could be too small to

[1]Proca field with non-minimal coupling to gravity was discussed by Fan[17] in the context of black hole solutions. We intend to give attention to this case in a future publication.



(a) Vector field components



(b) Metric components

Figure 7: Left: Profiles of the vector field components $a_0(x)$, $a_1(x)$ and their derivatives for the "close-to-limiting" solutions with $\lambda = 1$, $\gamma = 1/40$, $a_0(0) = 0.55$. Right: The corresponding metric functions in the form rN'/N, $rL'/L - 1$ and rK'/K which stresses the asymptotic power law behavior.

be appreciated with values of γ corresponding to "ordinary" mass scales of the order of a TeV, or even to the GUT scale.

As for the case of the probe limit $\gamma = 0$, the question of the limit of the gravitating Q-tubes for increasing Proca field amplitudes naturally occurs. In the gravitating case, no bifurcation of the type of Fig. 1 could be found. Instead our results indicate that the branches of Q-tubes are limited by non-analytical configurations of the vector potential as we now discuss. For all values of γ that we examined, it was found that the branches of solutions present a limit when a (finite) critical value of the control parameter $a_0(0)$ is approached. As for the case $\gamma = 0$, the field $a_1(x)$ presents a wall-shape at some $x = x_w$ and the value $|a_1'(x_w)|$ likely tends to infinity; this renders the numerical integration tricky. The profile of a solution close to the critical limit for $\gamma = 1/40$ is shown in Fig. 7. It has $a_0(0) = 0.55$; (note that the function $a_1'(x)$ is finite with a minimum $a_1'(1.76) \approx -5.3$ but it was truncated in the figure). The metric functions are presented on the right hand side of Fig.7 by means of the combinations xN'/N, xK'/K and $xL'/L - 1$ which characterize the deviation of the metric potential with respect to the Minkowski space-time and exhibit the Kasner powers (see below).

The pattern of gravitating solutions presents qualitative differences with respect to the solutions of probe limit. Perhaps the main feature characterizing the gravitating solutions is the fact that the underlying space-time is not asymptotically flat (or conic) but asymptotically of the Kasner-Type :

$$N(x)|_{x\to\infty} \sim x^a \quad , \quad L(x)|_{x\to\infty} \sim x^b \quad , \quad K(x)|_{x\to\infty} \sim x^c \quad , \quad a+b+c = a^2+b^2+c^2 = 1 \quad . \tag{5.1}$$

The reason that these solutions cannot be asymptotically conic, is that this kind of solution is defined by $a = c = 0$ (and $b = 1$) which is related to the corresponding "boost symmetry" of the source - i.e. the components of T^ν_μ or S^ν_μ and moreover to the fact that the integrals of S^0_0 and S^z_z over the tube cross section vanish [29]. This is not the case for the present Proca tubes as is seen for example in Fig. 7(b).

Incidentally, by looking at (2.23)-(2.24) we notice now a symmetry between $L(x)$ and $K(x)$. However, it does not follow in this case that $L(x) = K(x)$, neither $b = c$.

Several features of gravitating Proca Q-tubes appear in Figs. 8 and 9 - recall, all for a specific value of the self-coupling parameter of $\lambda = 1$. In particular, the mass of the gravitating solutions vanishes in the limit $a_0(0) \to 0$, in a clear contrast with the probe limit, and is generally much lower than without gravity. This is actually expected, due to the fact that gravity now makes the system "more bound". Moreover, a smaller number of particles is needed now in order to form localized bound structures. Thus $|Q|$ is now much smaller



Figure 8: Left: The mass of the gravitating solutions as a function of $a_0(0)$ for $\lambda = 1$ and four values of γ, starting from $\gamma = 0$. Right: The dependence of ω on $a_0(0)$ for the same parameters. Bottom: The dependence of the ratio M/Qm on $a_0(0)$ for the same parameters.

too. This preference of small number of particles is reflected also in the fact that when $|Q|$ is increased the Q-tubes become unstable and "prefer" to undergo "fission" into a number of smaller stable tubes - see Fig. 9. This phenomenon is common to scalar Q-balls [30, 31], but here it is much more prominent.

The right hand side of Fig. 8 reveals that gravitating solutions with $\omega > 1$ exist on a large domain of the control parameter $a_0(0)$. This is not a violation of the $\omega < 1$ bound, because of the time dilation in the Kasner spacetime represented by the $N(x)$ factor. Therefore, it is $\omega/N(x)$ which should be smaller than 1 throughout most space as is indeed the case.

From the lower part of Fig. 8 and from Fig. 9 we see also that the stability ratio M/mQ is often larger than 1 and the corresponding solutions are unstable. The larger (relatively) γ values (above $\gamma \approx 0.01$) yield solutions which are unstable throughout the whole branch. On the other hand the non-gravitating Q-tubes are always stable. In the middle there exists a small region where the solutions are stable for a certain interval of charge values or $a_0(0)$ values.

Finally we present in Fig.10 the ω dependence of the Q-tube mass and $U(1)$ charge for 4 values of the gravitational strength parameter γ. We notice a sharp difference in the behavior with respect to the analogous

relation for Proca Q-balls [3].



Figure 9: The dependence of the stability ratio M/Qm on the particle number Q (left) and on ω (right) for four values of γ, starting from $\gamma = 0$.



Figure 10: The mass and charge as a function of ω for four values of γ, starting from $\gamma = 0$.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we presented and analyzed the main properties of Proca Q-Tubes, that is cylindrically-symmetric localized solutions of a self-interacting massive vector field with a global conserved $U(1)$ charge. We found that the system is defined by 2 independent parameters, $\lambda/(m\nu^{1/2})$ and $\gamma = 16\pi Gm/\nu^{1/2}$. For a given pair of these parameters, there exists a family of "ground state" solutions defined by the central value of the vector field, $a_0(0)$. These "ground state" solutions have a minimal number of nodes: $a_0(x)$ has one node at some $x > 0$,

while $a_1(x)$ has a node at $x = 0$. We found several families of radially excited states with an increasing number of nodes and correspondingly increasing mass and charge.

These Q-tubes are stable in a large portion of parameter space if gravity is neglected. However, when gravity is taken into account it limits quite significantly the stability region. In physical terms, stable solutions seems to exist for small values of the gravitational strength $\gamma = 16\pi Gm/\nu^{1/2}$ up to about 0.01, and a relatively small value of particle number per unit length as seen in Fig. 9. These results are the product of a first survey and a much more extensive study is needed in order to reveal more detailed aspects of these structures and to understand them in depth. This study was limited to static solutions of the "electric" type whose geometry is asymptotically Kasner. A further study is required as to the question of the existence of "magnetic" solutions (static or stationary) and the possibility of asymptotically conic geometry.

References

[1] A. Y. Loginov, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 105028 (2015).

[2] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Phys. Lett. B **752** (2016) 291 [arXiv:1508.05395 [gr-qc]].

[3] I. S. Landea and F. Garcia, arXiv:1608.00011 [hep-th].

[4] M. Duarte and R. Brito, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 064055 (2016) [arXiv:1609.01735 [gr-qc]].

[5] A. Proca, J. Phys. Radium **7**, 347 (1936).

[6] A. Proca, J. Phys. Radium **8**, 23 (1937).

[7] D. N. Poenaru, [arXiv: physics/0508195].

[8] Y. N. Obukhov and E. J. Vlachynsky, Annals Phys. **8**, 497 (1999) [gr-qc/0004081].

[9] C. Vuille, J. Ipser and J. Gallagher, Gen. Rel. Grav. **34**, 689 (2002) [arXiv:1406.0497 [gr-qc]].

[10] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2739 (1976).

[11] S. R. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B **262**, 263 (1985) Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **269**, 744 (1986)].

[12] B. W. Lynn, Nucl. Phys. B **321**, 465 (1989).

[13] P. Jetzer, Phys. Rept. **220**, 163 (1992).

[14] T. D. Lee and Y. Pang, Phys. Rept. **221**, 251 (1992).

[15] S. Ponglertsakul and E. Winstanley, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 044048 (2016) [arXiv:1606.04644 [gr-qc]].

[16] C. Herdeiro, E. Radu and H. Runarsson, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, 154001 (2016) [arXiv:1603.02687 [gr-qc]].

[17] Z. Y. Fan, JHEP **1609**, 039 (2016) [arXiv:1606.00684 [hep-th]].

[18] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B **166**, 196 (1986).

[19] N. Arkani-Hamed, D. P. Finkbeiner, T. R. Slatyer and N. Weiner, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 015014 (2009) [arXiv:0810.0713 [hep-ph]].

[20] M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 391 (2009) [arXiv:0810.1502 [hep-ph]].

[21] M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo and A. Ringwald, JHEP **0911**, 027 (2009) [arXiv:0909.0515 [hep-ph]].

[22] N. Sakai, H. Ishihara and K. i. Nakao, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 105022 [arXiv:1011.4828 [hep-th]].

[23] T. Tamaki and N. Sakai, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 105011 [arXiv:1208.4440 [gr-qc]].

[24] Y. Brihaye and T. Delsate, arXiv:1306.4011 [gr-qc].

[25] U. Ascher, J. Christiansen and R. D. Russell, Math. Comput. **33** (1979), 659; ACM Trans. Math. Softw. **7** (1981), 209.

[26] M. S. Volkov and E. Wohnert, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 085003 (2002) [hep-th/0205157].

[27] B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz and M. List, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 064002 (2005) [gr-qc/0505143].

[28] M. Mai and P. Schweitzer, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 096002 (2012) [arXiv:1206.2930 [hep-ph]].

[29] J. Colding, N. K. Nielsen and Y. Verbin, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3371 (1997) [gr-qc/9705044].

[30] T. Multamaki and I. Vilja, Phys. Lett. B **542**, 137 (2002) [hep-ph/0205302].

[31] "Solitonic and Non-Solitonic Q-Stars," in H. Kleinert, R. T. Jantzen and R. Ruffini, "Recent developments in theoretical and experimental general relativity, gravitation and relativistic field theories. Proceedings, 11th Marcel Grossmann Meeting, MG11, Berlin, Germany, July 23-29, 2006" [arXiv:0708.2673 [gr-qc]].